	Case 2:13-cv-01634-APG-PAL Document 3 Filed 09/16/13 Page 1 of 2
1 2 3	Case 2.13-CV-01034-AFG-FAL Document 3 The Us/10/13 Fage 1 0/2
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JUAN VIANEZ, Plaintiff, 2:13-cv-01634-APG-PAL vs. McCARRAN INT'L AIRPORT, et al., Defendants. This action is a pro se civil rights complaint filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by a prisoner.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Neither a filing fee nor an application to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> was submitted with the complaint. Plaintiff must either submit the filing fee or submit an application to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> when initiating an action in this Court. Additionally, plaintiff did not use the courtapproved form for filing his complaint, pursuant to Local Rules of Special Proceedings, LSR 1-1. It does not appear from the papers presented that a dismissal without prejudice would result in a promptly-filed new complaint being untimely. In this regard, plaintiff at all times remains responsible for calculating the running of the limitations period as applied to this matter and

properly commencing a timely-filed civil rights action.¹ As such, this action is dismissed without 1 2 prejudice, as specified below. 3 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED WITHOUT **PREJUDICE** to the filing of a new complaint in a new action, with a proper in forma pauperis 4 5 application in compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). 6 IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court SHALL SEND plaintiff two 7 copies of an *in forma pauperis* application form for a prisoner, one copy of the instructions for same, 8 two copies of a blank 42 U.S.C. § 1983 prisoner civil rights form, and one copy of instructions for 9 the same. The Clerk of Court **SHALL SEND** plaintiff a copy of the complaint (ECF No. 1) 10 submitted in this action. 11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff may file a new complaint and in forma pauperis 12 application in a new action, but he may not file further documents in this action. 13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT 14 accordingly. 15 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this Court **CERTIFIES** that any *in forma pauperis* 16 appeal from this order would **not** be taken in good faith pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). Dated this 16th day of September, 2013. 17 18 19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 20 21 22 23

24

25

26

¹ Section 1983 does not contain a specific statute of limitations. *Wilson v. Garcia*, 471 U.S. 261, 266 (1985); *Vaughan v. Grijalva*, 927 F.2d 476, 478 (9th Cir. 1991). The Supreme Court has determined the appropriate statute of limitations for all § 1983 claims, regardless of the facts or legal theory of the particular case, is the forum state's statute of limitations for personal injury actions. *Perez v. Seevers*, 869 F.2d 425, 426 (9th Cir.) (per curiam), *cert. denied*, 493 U.S. 860 (1989). The statute of limitations in Nevada for personal injuries is two years. *See* Nev. Rev. Stat. § 11.190(4)(e).